IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.7328 OF 2000

Smt Banubai Subhash Sangaonkar,
alias Sangave.. .. Petitioner
Vs
1.Smt Padmaja Annasaheb alis
Kalgunda Patil and ors. .. Respondents
Mr P.D.Dalvi, for the petitioner.
Mr P.R.Arjunwadkar, for respondent no.1,7 & 8.
AGP for R.Nos 1,2 and 13.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATE : MAY 02, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. By this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for direction to the Revenue Department, State of Maharashtra, to hear and dispose of Revision Application bearing RTS No.3896/ Case No. 617 /L-6 expeditiously. Admittedly, the revision filed by the respondents is second revision application. It is now well settled that second revision is not maintainable. In view thereof, such direction ought not to have been issued. Moreover, it is not clear that whether the revision, which was filed some time in 1996, is still pending. Mr Arjunwadkar, learned counsel for the respondents, submits that it is his faint recollection that the order dated 25.3.1996 passed by the Addl. Commissioner, Pune Division,

Pune in the first revision bearing RTS No.343/93, dismissing the said revision application, was also challenged before this Court by way of an independent writ petition and that writ petition has also been disposed of. In any case, the revision pending before respondent no.13 is not maintainable. Keeping that in view and considering the prayer made in the writ petition, in my opinion, there is no point in keeping this writ petition pending any more. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed as infructuous.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)

/rrt/db-05/b-2505/wp7328-00